# House Oversight Committee June 30, 2015 CTC Program Synopsis

Herb Cooper

C Program Administrator

Update on SCENT Activity

## COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

- Created in 1994 (Tucker vs. SCDHPT lawsuit)
- Appointments made in each county by the County's Legislative Delegation
- Serves at the pleasure of the Delegation
- Typically, a separate entity from County government
- No set size and no set terms
- Fair representation from municipalities and unincorporated areas of the county



## C FUNDS

Where do the recurring funds come from?

Highway Users Fee

(2.66 cents per gal.)

\$71.3 M

Donor Bonus Fund

(SCDOT)

\$9.5 M

Interest on C Funds

\$4.7 M

(State Treasurer)

TOTAL

\$85.5 M



## <u>C FUNDS</u>

## Where do they go?

#### C Fund Allocation Formula

- One third based on the ratio of the land area of the county to the land area of the state.
- One third based on the ratio of county population to the state population.
- One third based on the ratio of rural road mileage in the county to rural road mileage in the state. A rural road is any public road not in a city or town.



## DONOR BONUS FUND

- \$9.5 million is transferred annually from the State highway fund to those counties that contribute to the C fund an amount in excess of what it receives under the Allocation Formula
- The funds are distributed in the ratio of the individual donor county's contribution in excess of C fund revenue allocated to the county under the Allocation Formula to the total excess contributions of all donor counties



### Recurring Funds: PROJECT SELECTION

- Selected by CTC on the State and Non-State Systems
- Limitations
  - 25% minimum on State System (any component)
  - 75% maximum on Non-State System
  - Cannot carry forward more than 300% of uncommitted funds each year



# PROJECTS using Recurring Funds What types qualify?

Paragraph (C) of S. C. Code Ann. Section 12-28-2740 (the "C" fund statute) states:

At least twenty-five (25%) percent of a county's apportionment of "C" funds .... must be expended on the state highway system for construction, improvements and maintenance....The county transportation committee, at its discretion, may expend up to seventy-five (75%) percent of "C" construction funds for activities including other local paving or improving county roads, for street and traffic signs, and for other road and bridge projects.



# PROJECTS using Recurring Funds What types qualify?

SCDOT provides the following guidance to CTCs for selecting projects:

"C funds are for transportation projects on public property and must be accessible to the public."



# PROJECTS using Recurring Funds What types qualify?

- Resurfacing
- Widening and/or realignments
- Extending shoulders
- Traffic signs/signals
- Intersection Improvements
- Turning lanes
- Sidewalks
- Pavement markings



# EXAMPLES OF INELIGIBLE PROJECTS

- Projects located on private property
- Projects not accessible to the public
- Projects not related to transportation
- Recreational projects such as tennis courts, ball fields, or running tracks

# PROPOSED SFY 15-16 ADDITIONAL, NON-RECURRING CTC APPROPRIATION

## Proposed SFY 15-16 One-Time Appropriation

- \$216.3M proposed to be allocated statewide in same manner as normal CTC fund distribution
- To be solely used on the "state-owned secondary road system for paving, rehabilitation, resurfacing and/or reconstruction and bridge repair, replacement or reconstruction."



## Proposed SFY 15-16 One-Time Appropriation Eligible Secondary Routes

ine	State System	(as of Dec 31, 2015)
Route Type	Centerline Miles	Lane Miles
Interstate	851	3,796
Primary	9,472	23,869
Federal Aid Secondary	31,092	21,108
Non Federal Aid Secondary	20,821	41,758
Total	41,414	90,530



#### STATE SECONDARIES PAVEMENT CONDITION

Federal-Aid Eligible



- 10,271 centerline miles
- 21,108 lane miles
- Higher volume secondaries
- 17% of travel occurs on the federal aid secondary system

Non-Federal-Aid Eligible



- 20,821 centerline miles
- 41,758 lane miles
- 30% are in urban areas, comprising over 12,000 individual road segments
- 7% of travel occurs on the non federal aid secondary system



## Proposed SFY 15-16 One-Time Appropriation Eligible Bridges

### **Bridges on the State System**

(as of Jan 23, 2015)

System	# Bridges	Substandard	Structurally Deficient	Functionally Obsolete	Load Restricted	Closed
Interstate	734	147	28	119	0	0
Primary (US and SC)	2,574	593	262	331	12	0
Secondary	5,112	860	532	328	378	10
Totals	8,420	1,600	822	778	390	10



## CTC RESPONSIBILITY

- Selection and approval of C funds based on known annual income
- Assignment of project administrator
- Compliance with state law
- Updating transportation plan as necessary

# PROJECT MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY

- Compliance with SC state procurement procedures
- Detailed record keeping
- Submittal of detailed invoices for work performed

# CTC PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION OPTIONS

- SCDOT Administered
  - 27 CTCs funds maintained by SCDOT
  - SCDOT manages the entire program
- Self-Administered
  - 19 CTCs –funds sent monthly
  - CTC manages entire program
  - Requires additional staff



## Self Administered Compliance Reviews

- Each CTC submits annual financial report
- SCDOT reviews all 19 CTCs to ensure compliance with the CTC law
  - Review projects shown on CTCs annual report
  - Review for adherence to procurement, expenditure and project eligibility requirements



## QUESTIONS?

Update on SCENT Activity